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Presentation Overview

- Background
- Approach to Infiltration/Inflow (I/I)
- 1000+ yr storm
- Flooding Forensics
- Remedial and Adaptive Measures
Combined & Sanitary Service Areas
Background Information
City of Hamilton

- 520,000 Population
- 409 MLD WWTP (1500 MLD WWF)
- 3 Major Interceptors
- 2,100 km of Sewers >600 km Combined
- 70 Pumping Stations
- 300 Diversion Structures
- Real Time Control System
- 23 CSO Points
- 8 CSO Storage Tanks (320,000 m³ of storage capacity)
What is Infiltration/Inflow

• I/I refers to unintended rainwater and groundwater entering a separated sanitary sewer system
• Results in increased conveyance, treatment costs, treatment plant upsets (bug washout), sanitary sewer overflows, surcharge and basement flooding in the worst cases
• Direct and indirect sources:

  Private Property Sources
  illegally connected downspouts, foundation and area drains, uncapped cleanouts, leaky household laterals

  Public Right of Way Sources
  improperly connected catchbasins, open grid manhole lids, cross connections to the storm system, manhole, chamber & pipe defects
Sources of Infiltration/Inflow

- Inflow Sources
- Infiltration Sources

- Roof Drain Connection
- Uncapped Cleanout
- Broken House Lateral
- Faulty Lateral Connection
- Faulty Manhole Cover or Frame
- Cracked or Broken Pipe
- Deteriorated Manhole
- Storm Sewer Cross-Connection
- Storm Sewer
- Sanitary Sewer
- Root Intrusion into Lateral
- Connected Foundation Drain
Components of Infiltration/Inflow

Total I/I = 103.8 l/s
  = Base Infiltration (8.5 l/s)
  + Maximum Response (95.3 l/s)

Observed Flow Peak = 125.4 l/s

Maximum Response = 95.3 l/s

Expected Flow = 30.1 l/s

Base Infiltration = 8.5 l/s

Response to Rainfall

Wastewater Flow
- Design allowance of 0.2 L/s/ha to 0.4 L/s/ha depending on storm sewer level of service
- 200 flow monitors, 30 raingauges
- Post event gauge adjusted RADAR forensics for big events
- Smoke testing, wet weather inspections, CCTV, zoom, dye testing
- Past 8 yrs 18 storms severe enough to cause flooding (50 – 100 yr storms)
The Big Storm

July 22, 2012 - persistent slow moving thunderstorms, heavy rain, large hail and unofficial reports of tornadoes
The Binbrook Urban Area

- Pop’n ~ 6000 – Full build-out ~14,000
- Most subdivisions built in the 2000’s
- Single sanitary pump station (140 L/s) with no overflow and 10 km forcemain
- I/I already flagged as an issue – 5 AV flow monitors installed
Previous I/I Assessment in Binbrook

- Typical flows in the range of 40 L/s + I/I = 140 L/s

- 0.75 L/s peak I/I

- Flows matching firm capacity of pumping station years in advance of planned pump station upgrade and forcemain twinning
The Big Storm – Flooding Calls

~ hundreds of reported flooding calls, many more likely unreported
Insurance Bureau of Canada estimates damage across Ontario from a combination of torrential rains, overland and basement flooding, large hail and unofficial reports of tornadoes, at ~$80 M.
-140 to 250mm of rain in about 3 hours, return period estimate 1000+ years

-I/I completely overwhelms system & sewers surcharge as much as 8 m with peak flows of 530 L/s (~ 4.0 L/s/ha I/I rate or 10 x design)

-Based on information gathered from residents, it appears that approximately 60% of basement flooding is believed to have occurred as a result of back-up of the sanitary sewer system while the remaining 40% is more associated with the intrusion of foundation water primarily from the sump pits.
Flooding Triage Response

- Rainfall and sanitary flow monitor analysis
- Smoke testing and wet weather MH inspections
- Interviews with residents
- CCTV and ZOOM camera investigations
- Engineering review of major and minor storm conveyance and storage
- Reassessment of Master Plan specified pump station and forcemain capacity/conveyance upgrades
Rainfall Analysis
Or
My Storm is Bigger Than Your Storm

Comparison of Cumulative Rainfall from City Gauges
for July 22, 2012 Storm Event

- Calder001
- Calder002
- Stone Church and Garth
- Highland Road
- Mount Hope
Rainfall Analysis IDF

Return Period Estimation for July 22, 2012 Storm Event

- 1 Hour Mt. Hope Raw Data
- 2 Hour Mt. Hope Raw Data
- 3 Hour using Mt. Hope IDF Equations
- Log. (1 Hour Mt. Hope Raw Data)
- Log. (2 Hour Mt. Hope Raw Data)
- Log. (3 Hour using Mt. Hope IDF Equations)

Equations:
- $y = 13.495 \ln(x) + 24.582$
- $y = 13.802 \ln(x) + 21.721$
- $y = 10.883 \ln(x) + 18.077$
Post Event Flow Monitor Assessment
Analysis of System Surcharge

Level Measured in Sanitary Pipes During July 22, 2012 Storm

- 56 North GP14A121 Level m
- Southbrook 1 GP14A004 Level m
- Binbrook - HWY 56 W GP14A063 Level m
- FallFairRd GP14A048 Level m
- Tanglewood GP13A036 Level m
- Paramount Calder Rain mm
- HD007 Highland Road Rain mm

Typical pipe diameter
Post Event Flow Monitor Assessment

FM flows - July 22 Storm

Total +ve flows to pump station

Firm capacity of station is ~140 L/s

Reverse flows up eastern catchments
Storm starts and velocity in the 525 mm sewer begins to slow. During peak intensity of storm this sewer becomes overwhelmed by flows from the much larger western catchments and begins to reverse flow and back up the collection system.
Smoke Testing and Reconnaissance – Inflow Sources

- Open grid storm lid on sanitary
- Catchbasins not yet commissioned & covered in geotextile
- Low lying manholes
- Unfinished manholes
Wet Weather Manhole Inspections – Infiltration Sources
Wet Weather Manhole Inspections – Infiltration Sources
CCTV Infiltration Defect Mapping
Manhole Pickholes as a Source of Inflow

![Graph showing flow versus depth above gutter](image_url)
Field Measurement of MH Inflow
Field Measurement of MH Inflow
MH Sealing – Near Complete Removal of Inflow in Field Testing

Flow versus Depth Above Gutter

Pre-Remediation
Post-Remediation

Remediation Elements:
- Chimney Seal
- Solid Manhole Cover
- LID Gasket

2 L/s I-I Reduction
for 10cm of head over the manhole
Lessons Learned

-Ok, so we’re used to 100 yr storms…they happen all the time, but a 1000+ year storm; that’s impressive and a great snapshot of maximum I/I potential!

-Expect major system flows and potential sanitary inflow more frequently

-Identified MH pick holes as a major source of inflow to the system during major storms with road ponding

-Flow monitoring new developments

-Mainline backwater valves now required in all newly constructed homes?
City Actions

-Solid MH lids to address inflow from the major system - potential removal of 200+ L/s of direct inflow. Simple, cheap and reliable.

-Wet weather reconnaissance critical in identifying defects. Follow up chemical grouting and MH rehabilitation

-Understanding wet weather vulnerabilities unique to new development

-Investigating feasibility of an emergency gravity overflow for the pump station

-Reassessing Master Planned upgrades to pumping station and forcemain capacity & timing. Can we successfully reduce I/I?

-Upcoming City Wide Flooding and Drainage Master Plan
Thank You

Questions?